arXiv:0906.4091v3 [gr-qc] 28 Jun 2009

Dynamical Cancellation of Cosmological Constant

Yongsung Yoon*
Physics Department, Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea
(Dated: 23 June 2009)

It is found that sudden changes in vacuum energy in matter Lagrangian are cancelled dynamically by the role of the scalar field in the induced gravity, uniquely determining the scalar potential as $V(\phi) = (\alpha/2)\ln(\phi/\mu)^2$. Throughout the dynamical cancellation of a sudden change in vacuum energy, the total dark energy density remains unchanged decreasing the gravitational constant. It is shown that the scalar field is stable around a constant scalar field which satisfies the relation, $\rho_m - 3p_m + 4\rho_\Lambda = \alpha$, between the matter density ρ_m, matter pressure p_m and the dark energy density ρ_Λ which depends on the scalar field.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 95.36.+x, 95.30.Sf, 04.20.-q, 98.80.Jk

Introduction: Since the development of General Relativity, it has been one of big mysteries why the cosmological constant is almost zero, or so small as the observational dark energy density $\rho_\Lambda^{obs} \sim (10^{-3}\text{eV})^4$ [1, 2], even though field theory estimation with any conceivable cut-off is much larger than the observations (For a recent review, see Refs. [3, 4] and references therein.). Moreover, some huge changes in the vacuum energy could have been occurred during spontaneous symmetry breakings. There has been no successful mechanism to remove such a possibly huge contribution to the cosmological constant. The arguments with super-symmetry or scale symmetry can prevent the emergence of the cosmological constant only when the symmetry is unbroken. However, the energy scale of such a symmetry breaking seems to be quite large to explain the small observational cosmological constant. Therefore, there should be a mechanism in the gravity Lagrangian to cancel out the huge contributions of vacuum energy in matter Lagrangian. Because there is no room to find such a way in General Relativity, we may have to conceive of a mechanism in an extended gravity theory with an additional scalar field like the induced gravity [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] or Brans-Dicke gravity [10, 11]. Actually, the scalar field in the extended gravity theory may fit the demand, since the scalar field takes its value responding to the matter density in the universe and determines the strength of the gravitational constant, reminding us of the almost forgotten old philosophy - Mach's principle [12].

Induced Gravity: We consider the following induced gravity action introducing a scalar potential $V(\phi)$ to be determined by the cancellation requirement of sudden changes in vacuum energy,

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{g}[-\frac{1}{2}\phi^2 R - \frac{\xi}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi)] + \int d^4x\sqrt{g}\mathcal{L}_m, \tag{1}$$

where ξ is a dimensionless coupling constant, and will be bounded by the stability requirement. $\mathcal{L}_m$ is the matter Lagrangian with fields of presumably different spins.

The gravity part of the action (1) is a form of Brans-Dicke gravity theory [10, 11] except the scalar potential term. In vacuum, without $\mathcal{L}_m$, it would be convenient to choose the Einstein frame redefining the metric as in the string inspired gravity [13]. However, in the presence of matters, it can not be simply done because a change of metric frame causes non-trivial couplings of the scalar field to matter fields of different spins in matter Lagrangian.

Equations of motion for the metric and the scalar field are obtained as

$$\phi^2 G_{\mu\nu} - 2\phi\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi + 2g_{\mu\nu}\phi\nabla^2\phi + (\xi-2)\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi - \frac{\xi-4}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\nabla\phi)^2 - g_{\mu\nu}V(\phi) = T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{2}$$

$$(\xi-6)[\phi\nabla^2\phi + (\nabla\phi)^2] + T^{(m)} + 4V(\phi) - \phi\frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial\phi} = 0, \tag{3}$$

where $T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu}$ is the matter energy-momentum tensor and $T^{(m)}$ is its trace.

Cosmological Equations: There have been a lot of works examining cosmological solutions in induced gravity with some ad hoc scalar potentials [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. As the previous works, we adopt the Robertson-Walker metric, $ds^2 = dt^2 - S^2(t)[dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2]$, to look at the cosmological equations. Then, Eqs(2,3) are reduced to the set of cosmological equations below, which is an extension of Friedmann equations in General Relativity.

---

*Electronic address: cem@hanyang.ac.kr

$$3\phi^2 H^2 + 6H\phi\dot{\phi} + \frac{\xi}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) = \rho_m + \rho_{vac}, \tag{4}$$

$$2a\phi^2 + H^2\phi^2 + 6H\phi\dot{\phi} + 2\phi\ddot{\phi} - \frac{\xi - 4}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) = -p_m + \rho_{vac}, \tag{5}$$

$$(\xi - 6)(\phi\ddot{\phi} + 3H\phi\dot{\phi} + \dot{\phi}^2) + T^{(m)} + 4V(\phi) - \phi\frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi} = 0, \tag{6}$$

where $H \equiv \dot{S}/S$, $a \equiv \ddot{S}/S$, $T_0^{(m)0} = \rho_m + \rho_{vac}$, $T_1^{(m)1} = T_2^{(m)2} = T_3^{(m)3} = -p_m + \rho_{vac}$, and ρ_{vac} is the vacuum energy in the matter Lagrangian.

Let us examine a constant solution, $\phi = \phi_0$, of Eqs.(4,5,6).

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G(\phi_0)}{3}\rho_T, \tag{7}$$

$$a = -\frac{4\pi G(\phi_0)}{3}(\rho_T + 3p_T), \tag{8}$$

$$\rho_m - 3p_m + 4\rho_{vac} + 4V(\phi_0) - \phi_0\frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi}\mid_{\phi_0} = 0, \tag{9}$$

where $\rho_T \equiv \rho_m + \rho_{vac} + V(\phi_0)$, $p_T \equiv p_m - \rho_{vac} - V(\phi_0)$, and $8\pi G(\phi_0) \equiv 1/\phi_0^2$. We can see that the sum of the vacuum energy ρ_{vac} in the matter Lagrangian and the scalar potential $V(\phi_0)$ plays the role of the total dark energy density(the energy density of cosmological constant), $\rho_{\Lambda_0} \equiv \rho_{vac} + V(\phi_0)$.

Dynamical Cancellation: Let us suppose a sudden change in vacuum energy by $\Delta\rho_{vac}$ in matter Lagrangian as $\rho'_{vac} = \rho_{vac} + \Delta\rho_{vac}$ at $t = 0$. Then, due to the sudden change in vacuum energy, the scalar field would be shifted from the old constant value ϕ_0 to a new constant value ϕ_1 which satisfies the following equation by the scalar dynamics Eq.(6) which will be examined;

$$\rho_m - 3p_m + 4\rho'_{vac} + 4V(\phi_1) - \phi_1\frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi}\mid_{\phi_1} = 0. \tag{10}$$

Throughout the change of the scalar field to comply with the sudden change in vacuum energy, the change in the total dark energy density ρ_Λ is found from Eqs.(9,10) as

$$\Delta\rho_\Lambda = \rho_\Lambda(\phi_1) - \rho_\Lambda(\phi_0) = V(\phi_1) + \Delta\rho_{vac} - V(\phi_0) = \frac{1}{4}[\phi_1\frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi}\mid_{\phi_1} - \phi_0\frac{\partial V(\phi)}{\partial \phi}\mid_{\phi_0}]. \tag{11}$$

From this equation, it is found that the total dark energy density ρ_Λ remains unchanged despite the sudden change in vacuum energy provided that the scalar potential satisfies the equation, $\phi\partial V(\phi)/\partial\phi =$ a constant(α). Thus, the requirement of cancellation of sudden changes in vacuum energy uniquely determines the scalar potential as;

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\alpha}{2}\ln(\frac{\phi}{\mu})^2, \tag{12}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and μ are dimensionful constants to be determined. Due to the relation between the matter density ρ_m, and the total dark energy density ρ_Λ,

$$\rho_m - 3p_m + 4\rho_\Lambda = \alpha, \tag{13}$$

which holds for a constant ϕ from Eq.(9), both the constant μ and the vacuum energy ρ_{vac} in matter Lagrangian can hide into the total dark energy density as

$$\rho_\Lambda = \rho_{vac} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\ln(\frac{\phi}{\mu})^2. \tag{14}$$

From Eqs.(11,12), the sudden change in vacuum energy by $\Delta\rho_{vac}$ causes the change in the gravitational constant as

$$\Delta\rho_{vac} = \frac{\alpha}{2}\ln(\frac{\phi_0}{\phi_1})^2 = \frac{\alpha}{2}\ln\frac{G(\phi_1)}{G(\phi_0)}. \tag{15}$$

Thus, as the vacuum is getting lower ($\Delta\rho_{vac} < 0$), the scalar field grows, and the gravitational constant is getting weaker. However, the total dark energy density ρ_Λ remains unchanged with the scalar potential (12) throughout the cancellation of the sudden change in vacuum energy. The weakness of the current gravitational constant might be attributed to some huge decrease of vacuum energy in past in matter Lagrangian by Eq.(15).

To clarify the concept of sudden changes in vacuum energy, let us consider a simple example of a temperature dependent effective potential $U(\psi)$ of a field ψ in matter Lagrangian,

$$U(\psi) = (T - T_c)\psi^2 + g\psi^4. \tag{16}$$

For a temperature T higher than T_c, the vacuum energy is zero as $U(0) = 0$. But, for a temperature T lower than T_c, the vacuum energy is negative as $U(\psi_0) = -(T_c - T)^2/4g$. Thus, as a temperature goes lower from above T_c to below T_c, a sudden change in vacuum energy $\Delta\rho_{vac} = -(T - T_c)^2/4g < 0$ occurs in this example. In general, a spontaneous symmetry breaking lowering the vacuum energy gives a negative vacuum energy change, $\Delta\rho_{vac} < 0$.

Let us examine the stability of a constant scalar filed solution and the scalar field dynamics, how the scalar field changes from its old constant value ϕ_0 to its new constant value ϕ_1 with a sudden change in vacuum energy, $\Delta\rho_{vac}$. Assuming $\varphi(0) = 0$ with $\varphi = \phi - \phi_0$ and $\mid \frac{\varphi}{\phi_0} \mid \ll 1$, the scalar equation (6) near $\phi = \phi_0$ after the sudden change in vacuum energy can be written in terms of φ as follows;

$$\ddot{\varphi} = -3H\dot{\varphi} - \frac{4}{\xi - 6}\frac{\Delta\rho_{vac}}{\phi_0} - \frac{4\alpha}{\xi - 6}\frac{\varphi}{\phi_0^2}. \tag{17}$$

In the right hand side, the first term is a velocity dependent frictional force due to the cosmological expansion, the second term is a constant force due to the sudden change in vacuum energy, and the last term is a restoring force giving stability if $\xi > 6$. Thus, the stability around a constant ϕ solution requires that $\xi > 6$. With this choice of range of the coupling constant ξ, $\varphi = 0$, *i.e.* $\phi = \phi_0$ is a stable solution if $\Delta\rho_{vac} = 0$, and $\Delta\rho_{vac} \neq 0$ causes the displacement of the scalar field from ϕ_0 to ϕ_1. For $\Delta\rho_{vac} < 0$, the scalar field increases, and for $\Delta\rho_{vac} > 0$, the scalar field decreases, as expected, up to $\varphi = -\phi_0\Delta\rho_{vac}/\alpha$ during the time scale $\sim \phi_0\sqrt{\xi - 6}/\sqrt{\alpha}$. If the coupling ξ is close to the conformal coupling limit $\xi = 6$ [26], the transit time of the scalar field would be quite short. Therefore, for any sudden changes in the trace of the matter energy-momentum tensor, a dynamical solution makes a transition from the old constant scalar solution to the new constant scalar solution which satisfies Eq.(13), which is seemingly an instanton solution localized in time. Furthermore, the long term change in matter density due to the cosmological expansion also makes the scalar field change slowly varying the dark energy density to comply with the equation (13).

Eq.(17) shows a similarity to a damped oscillator system in which a mass is hung to a spring with a velocity dependent friction under a constant gravitational acceleration: A displacement of the equilibrium position(a change in potential energy) can be caused by a change in the constant gravitational acceleration.

As our universe expands, the matter density and matter pressure will eventually die out to zero. In that limit, the total dark energy density will be $\rho_{\Lambda_\infty} = \alpha/4$ from Eq.(13). Then, the current total dark energy density $\rho_\Lambda = \rho_{vac} + (\alpha/2)\ln(\phi/\mu)^2$ can be written in terms of ϕ_∞ and ρ_{Λ_∞} with the help of Eqs.(13,14) as

$$\rho_\Lambda = \rho_{\Lambda_\infty}(1 + \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{\phi^2}{\phi_\infty^2}) = \rho_{\Lambda_\infty}(1 - \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{G_\phi}{G_\infty}). \tag{18}$$

Conclusion: The requirement of cancellation of sudden changes in vacuum energy uniquely determines the scalar potential as $V(\phi) = (\alpha/2)\ln(\phi/\mu)^2$. With the scalar potential, the total dark energy density remains unchanged throughout the cancellation of a sudden change in vacuum energy decreasing the gravitational constant. And, the scalar dynamics given by Eq.(6) always tunes the scalar field to a new constant scalar field which makes the relation,

$$\rho_m - 3p_m + 4\rho_\Lambda = 4\rho_{\Lambda_\infty}, \tag{19}$$

hold for any change in the trace of the matter energy-momentum tensor. The dynamical stability is guaranteed if $\xi > 6$ for the determined scalar potential (12). The weakness of the current gravitational constant could be attributed to a huge decrease of vacuum energy in past in matter Lagrangian.

Discussion: If the matters in our current universe is cold enough [27] and if we assume that our universe is in the phase of a constant scalar field, the matter density ρ_m and the current dark energy density ρ_Λ would satisfy $\rho_m + 4\rho_\Lambda = 4\rho_{\Lambda_\infty}$. Then, dividing both sides with the current critical density $\rho_c = 3H^2/8\pi G(\phi)$, we get

$$\Omega_\Lambda \equiv \frac{\rho_\Lambda}{\rho_c} = \frac{1}{3}(4\Omega_{\Lambda_\infty} - 1), \quad \Omega_m \equiv \frac{\rho_m}{\rho_c} = \frac{4}{3}(1 - \Omega_{\Lambda_\infty}), \quad \frac{\Omega_\Lambda}{\Omega_m} = \frac{\Omega_{\Lambda_\infty} - \frac{1}{4}}{1 - \Omega_{\Lambda_\infty}}, \tag{20}$$

where $\Omega_{\Lambda_\infty} \equiv \rho_{\Lambda_\infty}/\rho_c \leq 1$. If the current observational data $\Omega_\Lambda/\Omega_m \approx 7/3$ [1, 2, 27] is inserted into Eq.(20), then we would have $\rho_{\Lambda_\infty} \approx 3\rho_c/4$, which could be a measure of how old our universe is (eventually, $\rho_{\Lambda_\infty} = \rho_c$). However, if the scalar potential Eq.(12) is originated from an effective potential at the finite temperature of our universe, then the constant α may depend on the temperature which changes with the cosmological evolution. In that case, the right hand side of Eq.(13) would not be a constant, but a temperature dependent parameter.

As a final comment, the dynamical cancellation does not only provide a resolution to the cosmological constant problem, why the dark energy density is so small, but also, through the relation (13), might give a clue to the coincidence problem of the cosmological constant; why the matter density and the dark energy density have roughly the same order of observational values at this moment in our universe.

Acknowledgments: The author appreciates discussions with Dr. C.J. Park.

[1] A.G. Riess *et al.*, Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998); Astron. J. **118**, 2668 (1999); Astron. J. **607**, 665 (2004); S. Perlmutter *et al.*, Nature **398**, 51 (1998); Astron. J. **517**, 565 (1999); R. Knop *et al.*, Astron. J. **598**, 102 (2003); B. Barris *et al.*, Astron. J. **602**, 571 (2004);

[2] S. Perlmutter *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999).

[3] A. Silvestri and M. Trodden, arXiv:0904.0024, (2009).

[4] M. Sami, arXiv:0904.3445, (2009).

[5] A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 417 (1979).

[6] L. Smolin, Nucl. Phys. **B160**, 253 (1979).

[7] A. Adler, Rev. Mod. Phys. **54**, 729 (1982).

[8] A.D. Sakharov. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 117, 70 (1967) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. **12**, 1040 (1967)].

[9] P. Batra, K. Hinterbichler, L. Hui, and D. Kabat, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 043507 (2008).

[10] C.H. Brans and R.H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **124**, 925 (1961).

[11] P.G. Bergmann, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **1**, 25 (1968).

[12] E. Mach, *The Science of Mechanics*, trans. by T.J. McCormack(2nd ed., Open Court Pub. Co., 1893).

[13] C.V. Johnson, *D-brane primer*, Boulder 1999, Strings, branes and gravity, 129-350 [arXiv:hep-th/0007170].

[14] T. Padmanabhan, *Quantum Conformal Fluctuations, Induced Gravity And Cosmology*, CERN Preprint:CERN-TH-3706 (Sep. 1983).

[15] F.S. Accetta, D.J. Zoller, and M.S. Turner, Phys. Rev. **D31**, 3046 (1985).

[16] F.S. Accetta and J.J. Trester, Phys. Rev. **D39**, 2854 (1989).

[17] E. Carugno, S. Capozziello, and F. Occhionero, Phys. Rev. **D47**, 4261 (1993).

[18] D. La, Phys. Rev. **D44**, 1680 (1991); *The "Scaled" Induced-Gravity Inflationary Cosmology*, U.C. Berkely Preprint:CfPA-TH-90-015A (Jul. 1990).

[19] J.L. Cervantes-Cota and H. Dehnen, Nucl. Phys. B **442**, 391 (1995).

[20] W.F. Kao, Phys. Lett. A **147**, 165 (1990).

[21] R. Fakir and W.G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1792 (1990).

[22] D.I. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. **D49**, 6347 (1994).

[23] V. Faraoni, arXiv:0906.1901, (2009).

[24] A. Cerioni, F. Finelli, A. Troncoi, and G. Venturi, arXiv:0906.1902 (2009).

[25] J. Kim, C.J. Park, and Y. Yoon, Phys. Rev. **D51**, 562 (1995); Phys. Rev. **D51**, 4595 (1995).

[26] Y. Yoon, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 127501 (1999); C.J. Park and Y. Yoon, Gen. Rel. Grav. **29**, 765 (1997).

[27] S.M. Carroll, Liv. Rev. Rel. **4**, 1 (2001).